### NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

# COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

### DIVISION ONE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

D037881

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v. (Super. Ct. No. SCE192670)

RAMONA ROBINSON,

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, Herbert J. Exarhos, Judge. Affirmed.

In December 1998, Ramona Robinson entered a negotiated guilty plea to residential burglary. (Pen. Code, §§ 459, 460.) The court suspended imposition of sentence and placed her on three years' probation including a condition she obey all laws and not use a controlled substance. On January 29, 2001, Robinson admitted violating conditions of probation by failing to obey all laws and testing positive for the presence of

a controlled substance. The court revoked probation and sentenced her to the four-year middle term for residential burglary.

## DISCUSSION

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief setting forth the evidence in the superior court. Counsel presents no argument for reversal but asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, counsel refers to as a possible but not arguable issue whether the trial court abused its discretion in imposing a four-year prison term instead of reinstating probation. <sup>1</sup>

We granted Robinson permission to file a brief on her own behalf. She has not responded. A review of the entire record pursuant to *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, including the possible issue referred to pursuant to *Anders v. California*, *supra*, 386 U.S. 738, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issue. Competent counsel has represented Robinson on this appeal.

Because Robinson entered a guilty plea, he cannot challenge the facts underlying the conviction. (Pen. Code, § 1237.5; *People v. Martin* (1973) 9 Cal.3d 687, 693; *People v. Jackson* (1985) 37 Cal.3d 826, 836, overruled on other grounds as recognized in *People v. Burton* (1989) 48 Cal.3d 843, 863.) We need not recite the facts.

# DISPOSITION

Judgment affirmed.	
	O'ROURKE, J.
WE CONCUR:	
KREMER, P. J.	
HUFFMAN, J.	